

FROM L_∞ -ALGEBROIDS TO HIGHER SCHOUTEN/POISSON STRUCTURES

Andrew James Bruce
(e-mail: andrewjames.bruce@physics.org)

(*Received* *2010*)

We show that L_∞ -algebroids, understood in terms of Q -manifolds can be described in terms of certain higher Schouten and Poisson structures on graded (super)manifolds. This generalises known constructions for Lie (super) algebras and Lie algebroids.

Keywords: L_∞ -algebras, L_∞ -algebroids, higher Poisson structures, higher Schouten structures, graded manifolds.

1. Introduction and main results

Recall that Lie algebroids [33] were originally defined as the triple $(E, [\bullet, \bullet], a)$, here E is a vector bundle over the manifold M equipped with a Lie bracket acting on the module of sections $\Gamma(E)$, together with a vector bundle morphism called the anchor $a : E \rightarrow TM$. The anchor and the Lie bracket satisfy the following

$$[u, fv] = a(u)fv \pm f[u, v], \quad a([u, v]) = [a(u), a(v)], \quad (1)$$

for all $u, v \in \Gamma(E)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$. To paraphrase this definition, a Lie algebroid is a vector bundle with the structure of a Lie algebra on the module of sections that can be represented by vector fields.

Equivalently, a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ is a Lie algebroid if there exists a weight one homological vector field on the total space of ΠE , considered as a graded manifold [38]. A supermanifold equipped with a homological vector field often denoted Q , that is an odd vector field that Lie supercommutes with itself, is known as a Q -manifold. Note that from the start we will consider all objects to be $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded, we will refer to this grading as (Grassmann) parity. Here Π is the parity reversion functor, it shifts the parity of the fibre coordinates. The weight is provided by the assignment of weight zero to the base coordinates and weight one to the fibre coordinates. Generically the weight is completely independent of the parity. The homological condition on the vector field encapsulates all the properties of Lie algebroids, namely equations (1).

What is slightly less well-known is that the algebroid structure on $E \rightarrow M$ is also equivalent to

1. A weight minus one Schouten¹ structure on the total space of ΠE^* .
2. A weight minus one Poisson structure on the total space of E^* .

It is important to note that the description of Lie algebroids as certain Schouten and Poisson structures is in terms of functions on graded supermanifolds, as opposed to sections of vector bundles. Note that the linearity of these brackets in “conventional language” is replaced by a condition on the weight. Moreover, the associated Schouten and Poisson brackets satisfy a Leibnitz rule over the product of functions. For the case of a Lie (super) algebra the associated brackets are known as the Lie–Schouten and Lie–Poisson bracket [40].

We address the natural question “*is there is a similar construction for L_∞ -algebroids?*”

We understand an L_∞ -algebroid to be the Q-manifold $(\Pi E, Q)$, for a given vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$. The homological vector field can be inhomogeneous in weight. A notion of strictness, thought of as a compatibility condition between the Q-structure and the vector bundle structure can be employed. The two extreme examples of L_∞ -algebroids are Lie algebroids and L_∞ -algebras.

One can also describe L_∞ -algebroids in terms of an L_∞ -algebra on the module of sections $\Gamma(E)$ such that the “higher anchors” arise in the Leibnitz rule. In this work we will take the description of L_∞ -algebroids in terms of Q-manifolds as the starting point. This is conceptually clear and fundamental in the constructions presented in this paper. Furthermore, it allows for a clear definition of morphisms between L_∞ -algebroids as morphisms of Q-manifolds.

Thinking of Poisson and Schouten structures as functions on particular symplectic supermanifolds allows for very natural higher generalisations as outlined by Voronov [41, 42]. These higher structures are precisely what are required in passing from Lie algebroids to L_∞ -algebroids.

We state the main theorem (Theorem(1)) of this paper as the canonical construction of total weight one higher Schouten or higher Poisson structures on the total space of ΠE^* or E^* respectively, given an L_∞ -algebroid $(\Pi E, Q)$. That is we associate with the homological field $Q \in \text{Vect}(\Pi E)$ an odd function $S \in C^\infty(T^*(\Pi E^*))$ such that $\{S, S\}_{T^*(\Pi E^*)} = 0$ and an even function $P \in C^\infty(\Pi T^*(E^*))$ such that $\llbracket P, P \rrbracket_{\Pi T^*(E^*)} = 0$. The brackets here are canonical Poisson and Schouten–Nijenhuis brackets respectively. By employing a bi-grading it is shown that these structures can be assigned a total weight of one.

¹Schouten structures are also known as odd Poisson or Gerstenhaber structures. We will stick to the nomenclature Schouten following [40]. They are the Grassmann odd analogue of Poisson structures.

The higher Schouten and higher Poisson structures are thought of as a higher order generalisation of the “classical binary” structures. For example, a higher Poisson structure on a supermanifold is the replacement of a Poisson bi-vector with an even parity, but otherwise inhomogeneous multivector field. Associated with a higher Schouten/Poisson structure is a homotopy Schouten/Poisson algebra on the smooth functions over the supermanifold. That is there is an L_∞ -algebra structure, suitably “superised” such that the series of brackets satisfy a Leibnitz rule over the supercommutative product of functions. See Voronov [41, 42] and Voronov & Khudaverdian [26] (also see de Azcárraga et.al [13, 14]).

For the specific case of L_∞ -algebroids, the algebras of “vector bundle multivectors” $C^\infty(\Pi E^*)$ and “vector bundle symmetric contravariant tensors” $C^\infty(E^*)$ come equipped with homotopy Schouten and homotopy Poisson algebras respectively. Furthermore, as a direct corollary of Theorem(1) we see that given an arbitrary L_∞ -algebra one can associate directly a certain homotopy Schouten or equivalently a certain homotopy Poisson algebra. That is the constructions presented in this paper give homotopy versions of the Lie–Schouten and Lie–Poisson brackets.

L_∞ -algebroids appear quite directly when considering higher Poisson and higher Schouten structures over supermanifolds [26], as well as on Lie algebroids [11]. In particular they arise when generalising the Lie algebroid structure on T^*M for a given Poisson manifold to the higher/homotopy versions. In part the work presented here goes towards completing the general framework found in the study of higher Poisson and Schouten structures.

However, it must be noted that the notion of an L_∞ -algebroid employed here is not the most general one could consider. More general graded manifolds and homological vector fields on them, that is “differential graded manifolds” would represent a wider definition of an L_∞ -algebroid than employed here. All the graded structures encountered here will have their origin in vector bundle and double vector bundle structures. Examples of differential graded manifolds, can for example be found lying behind the BV-antifield formalism [6, 7] and the BFV formalism [16, 24]. Indeed quantum field theory provides a rich source of graded structures and will continue to provide much inspiration to mathematicians.

Graded geometry has provided a powerful setting to discuss various geometric constructions. Works along these lines include [1, 11, 34, 35, 41, 43, 46] as well as many others.

This section continues with a brief outline of L_∞ -algebras and higher derived brackets as needed later. Here we will fix some nomenclature, notation and conventions. In Section(2.) we recall some basic facts about graded manifolds and define L_∞ -algebroids. In Section(3.) we state and prove the main theorem of this paper, Theorem(1). We also include a few explicit and simple examples to illustrate the theorem in Section(4.). In Section(5.) we end with few concluding remarks. A short appendix presenting some lemmas on canonical double vector bundle morphisms is included.

Preliminaries

All vector spaces and algebras will be $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded. The reason for this lies in physics, where it is necessary to employ such a grading when wanting to describe fermions and/or ghosts. Generally in accordance with “supermathematics” we will omit the prefix *super*. By *manifold* we will mean a *smooth supermanifold*. We denote the Grassmann parity of an object by *tilde*: $\tilde{A} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. By *even* or *odd* we will be referring explicitly to the Grassmann parity and not to any extra weight(s).

A *Poisson* ($\varepsilon = 0$) or *Schouten* ($\varepsilon = 1$) *algebra* is understood as a vector space A with a bilinear associative multiplication and a bilinear operation $\{, \} : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ such that:

$$\textbf{Grading} \quad \widetilde{\{a, b\}_\varepsilon} = \tilde{a} + \tilde{b} + \varepsilon$$

$$\textbf{Skewsymmetry} \quad \{a, b\}_\varepsilon = -(-1)^{(\tilde{a}+\varepsilon)(\tilde{b}+\varepsilon)} \{b, a\}_\varepsilon$$

$$\textbf{Leibnitz Rule} \quad \{a, bc\}_\varepsilon = \{a, b\}_\varepsilon c + (-1)^{(\tilde{a}+\varepsilon)\tilde{b}} b \{a, c\}_\varepsilon$$

$$\textbf{Jacobi Identity} \quad \sum_{cyclic\ a,b,c} (-1)^{(\tilde{a}+\varepsilon)(\tilde{c}+\varepsilon)} \{a, \{b, c\}_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon = 0$$

for all homogenous elements $a, b, c \in A$.

A manifold M such that $C^\infty(M)$ is a Poisson/Schouten algebra is known as a *Poisson/Schouten manifold*. As the Poisson/Schouten brackets are biderivations over the functions they are specified by contravariant tensor fields of rank two. A *Poisson structure* on a manifold M is understood as a bi-vector field $P \in C^\infty(\Pi T^*M)$ (quadratic in fibre coordinates), such that $\llbracket P, P \rrbracket = 0$. Here the brackets are the canonical Schouten brackets on ΠT^*M also known as the Schouten–Nijenhuis bracket. The associated Poisson bracket is given by $\{f, g\}_P = (-1)^{\tilde{f}+1} \llbracket \llbracket P, f \rrbracket, g \rrbracket$, with $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$. Similarly, a *Schouten structure* on a manifold M is an odd symmetric tensor field $S \in C^\infty(T^*M)$ quadratic in the fibre coordinates such that $\{S, S\} = 0$. The associated Schouten bracket is given by $\llbracket f, g \rrbracket_S = (-1)^{\tilde{f}+1} \{\{S, f\}, g\}$, with $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$. Note that non-trivial Schouten structures cannot exist on pure even manifolds. The Jacobi identities on the brackets are equivalent to the self-commutating conditions of the structures.

We closely follow Voronov [41] in conventions concerning L_∞ -algebras. A vector space $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ endowed with a sequence of odd n -linear operators of $n \geq 0$ (which we denote as $(\bullet, \dots, \bullet)$) is said to be an L_∞ -algebra (c.f. [28, 29]) if

1. The operators are symmetric

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i, a_j, \dots, a_n) = (-1)^{\tilde{a}_i \tilde{a}_j} (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j, a_i, \dots, a_n). \quad (2)$$

2. The generalised Jacobi identities

$$\sum_{k+l=n-1} \sum_{(k,l)\text{-unshuffles}} (-1)^\epsilon ((a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(k)}), a_{\sigma(k+1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(k+l)}) = 0 \quad (3)$$

hold for all $n \geq 1$. Here $(-1)^\epsilon$ is a sign that arises due to the exchange of homogeneous elements $a_i \in V$. Recall that a (k, l) -unshuffle is a permutation of the indices $1, 2, \dots, k+l$ such that $\sigma(1) < \dots < \sigma(k)$ and $\sigma(k+1) < \dots < \sigma(k+l)$. The LHS of the above are referred to as Jacobiators.

It must be noted that the above definitions are shifted as compared to the original definitions of Lada & Stasheff. Specifically, if $V = \Pi U$ is an L_∞ -algebra (as above) then we have a series of brackets on U that are skew-symmetric and even/odd for an even/odd number of arguments. Let $x_i \in U$ and we define the brackets on U viz

$$\Pi\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} = (-1)^{(\tilde{x}_1(n-1) + \tilde{x}_2(n-2) + \dots + \tilde{x}_{n-1} + 1)}(\Pi x_1, \dots, \Pi x_n). \quad (4)$$

One may call $V = \Pi U$ an L_∞ -antialgebra. However, we will refer to the bracket structures on V and U as L_∞ -algebras keeping in mind the above identification.

Warning There is plenty of room here over the assignments of gradings and symmetries. We prefer to work in the “super-setting”. It must also be remarked that in most applications the zero bracket vanishes identically. In such cases we say that the L_∞ -algebra is *strict*. In the literature L_∞ -algebras with a non-vanishing zero bracket are called “weak”, “with background” or “curved”. By default, we will include a non-vanishing zero bracket unless otherwise stated.

DEFINITION 1. A homotopy Schouten algebra is a commutative, associative, unital algebra $\mathcal{A}$ equipped with an L_∞ -algebra structure such that the odd n -multilinear operations known as higher Schouten brackets, are multiderivations over the product:

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r-1}, a_r a_{r+1}) &= (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r-1}, a_r) a_{r+1} \\ &+ (-1)^{\widetilde{a_r}(\widetilde{a_1} + \widetilde{a_2} + \dots + \widetilde{a_{r-1}} + 1)} a_r (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r-1}, a_{r+1}), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

with $a_I \in \mathcal{A}$.

In order to define a homotopy Poisson algebra one needs to consider a shift in parity to keep inline with our conventions. Up to this shift, the definition carries over directly.

DEFINITION 2. A homotopy Poisson algebra is a commutative, associative, unital algebra $\mathcal{A}$ equipped with an L_∞ -algebra structure such that the n -multilinear operations known as higher Poisson brackets (even/odd for even/odd number of arguments), are multiderivations over the product:

$$\begin{aligned} \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r-1}, a_r a_{r+1}\} &= \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r-1}, a_r\} a_{r+1} \\ &+ (-1)^{\widetilde{a_r}(\widetilde{a_1} + \widetilde{a_2} + \dots + \widetilde{a_{r-1}} + r)} a_r \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r-1}, a_{r+1}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

with $a_I \in \mathcal{A}$.

Following Voronov [41] it is known how to construct a series of brackets from the “initial data” – $(\mathcal{L}, \pi, \Delta)$. Here $\mathcal{L}$ is a Lie (super)algebra equipped with a projector ($\pi^2 = \pi$) onto an abelian subalgebra satisfying the distributivity rule $\pi[a, b] = \pi[\pi a, b] + \pi[a, \pi b]$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{L}$. Given an element $\Delta \in \mathcal{L}$ a series of brackets on the abelian subalgebra, $V \subset \mathcal{L}$ is defined as

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \pi[\dots [[[\Delta, a_1], a_2], \dots a_n], \quad (7)$$

with a_i in V . The zero bracket is defined as

$$(\emptyset) = \pi\Delta. \quad (8)$$

Such brackets have the same parity as Δ and are symmetric. The series of brackets is referred to as higher derived brackets generated by Δ . A theorem due to Voronov states that for an odd generator $\Delta \in \mathcal{L}$ the n -th Jacobiator is given by the n -th higher derived bracket generated by Δ^2 .

$$J^n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \pi[\dots [[[\Delta^2, a_1], a_2], \dots a_n]. \quad (9)$$

In particular we have that if $\Delta^2 = 0$ then the series of higher derived brackets is an L_∞ -algebra. Note that if $\pi\Delta = 0$ then the L_∞ -algebra is strict.

DEFINITION 3. Let M be a manifold. An even multivector field $P \in C^\infty(\Pi T^*M)$ is said to be a higher Poisson structure if and only if $\llbracket P, P \rrbracket = 0$, where the bracket is the canonical Schouten–Nijenhuis bracket on ΠT^*M .

Via Voronov’s higher derived bracket formalism one obtains a homotopy Poisson algebra on $C^\infty(M)$ when M is equipped with a higher Poisson structure. The brackets being given by

$$\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r\}_P = (-1)^{\tilde{f}_1(r-1) + \tilde{f}_2(r-2) + \dots + \tilde{f}_{r-1} + r} \llbracket \dots \llbracket \llbracket P, f_1 \rrbracket, f_2 \rrbracket, \dots, f_r \rrbracket|_M, \quad (10)$$

where $f_I \in C^\infty(M)$. Note the above sign factor ensures that the higher Poisson brackets are skewsymmetric. It is possible to ignore this sign factor and work with antisymmetric brackets.

DEFINITION 4. Let M be a manifold. An odd function $S \in C^\infty(T^*M)$ is said to be a higher Schouten structure if and only if $\{S, S\} = 0$, where the bracket is the canonical Poisson bracket on T^*M .

One obtains a homotopy Schouten algebra on $C^\infty(M)$ when M is equipped with a higher Schouten structure. The brackets being given by

$$(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)_S = \{\dots \{ \{S, f_1\}, f_2 \}, \dots, f_r \}|_M, \quad (11)$$

where $f_I \in C^\infty(M)$.

DEFINITION 5. An odd vector field $Q \in \text{Vect}(M)$ that “squares to zero”, that is $[Q, Q] = 2Q^2 = 0$ shall be known as a homological vector field.

DEFINITION 6. A manifold equipped with a homological vector field shall be known as a Q-manifold.

In fact, all L_∞ -algebras can be understood in terms of formal Q-manifolds. If set $V = \Pi U$ (as vector spaces), then we can consider elements of ΠU as being “constant valued” vector fields

$$\begin{aligned} i : \Pi U &\hookrightarrow \text{Vect}(\Pi U) \\ a = a^\alpha s_\alpha &\rightarrow a^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^\alpha}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where we have picked an “odd basis”, $\widetilde{s}_\alpha = (\widetilde{\alpha} + 1)$ and local coordinates $\{\xi^\alpha\}$ on ΠU considered as a formal supermanifold. An L_∞ -algebra is encoded in a homological vector field of arbitrary weight (assign weight one to the linear coordinates)

$$Q = \left(Q_0^\delta + \xi^\alpha Q_\alpha^\delta + \frac{1}{2!} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta Q_{\beta\alpha}^\delta + \frac{1}{3!} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta \xi^\gamma Q_{\gamma\beta\alpha}^\delta + \cdots \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^\delta}. \quad (13)$$

The series of brackets are then given by

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r) = \pi_0 ([\cdots [\cdots [Q, a_1], a_2], \dots, a_r]), \quad (14)$$

where the projector π_0 here is the evaluation at the origin. In terms of a local basis the brackets can be expressed as

$$(s_{\alpha_1}, s_{\alpha_2}, \dots, s_{\alpha_r}) = (-1)^{(\sum_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\alpha}_i)} Q_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_r}^\beta s_\beta. \quad (15)$$

A little more explicitly the first few brackets are given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\emptyset) &= Q_0^\delta s_\delta, & (s_\alpha) &= (-1)^{\widetilde{\alpha}} Q_\alpha^\delta s_\delta, \\ (s_\alpha, s_\beta) &= (-1)^{\widetilde{\alpha} + \widetilde{\beta}} Q_{\alpha\beta}^\delta s_\delta, & (s_\alpha, s_\beta, s_\gamma) &= (-1)^{\widetilde{\alpha} + \widetilde{\beta} + \widetilde{\gamma}} Q_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^\delta s_\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Remark It is also true that A_∞ -algebras and C_∞ -algebras can be understood in terms of formal Q-manifolds. As we will have no use for them here we will not elaborate further.

Warning The notion of homotopy Schouten and homotopy Poisson algebra used in this work is far more restrictive than found elsewhere in the literature. We will make no use of the theory of (pr)operads in our constructions, [19, 20]. Specifically, the homotopy Poisson and Schouten algebras defined here are not the cofibrant resolution of the appropriate operads. Only the Jacobi identity has been weakened *up to homotopy*. Furthermore, these notions can be formulated in the $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded setting. For example see Tamarkin & Tsygan [37], Cattaneo & Felder [17] and Mehta [32]. However, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded notions used in this paper seem very natural for supergeometry and suit the purposes of this work very well.

2. Graded manifolds and L_∞ -algebroids

Recall the definition of a (multi)graded manifold as a manifold $\mathcal{M}$, equipped with a privileged class of atlases where the coordinates are assigned weights taking values in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and the coordinate transformations are polynomial in coordinates with nonzero weights respecting the weights, see for example [21, 35, 43, 46]. Generally the weight will be independent of the Grassmann parity. Moreover, any sign factors that arise will be due to the Grassmann parity and we do not include any possible extra signs due to the weight(s). In simpler terms, we have a manifold equipped with a distinguished class of charts and diffeomorphisms between them respecting the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading as well as the additional $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -grading. These gradings then pass over to geometric objects on graded manifolds.

Let us employ local coordinates $\{x^A\}$ on an arbitrary graded manifold $\mathcal{M}$. We will use the notation $w(x^A) = (w_1(x^A), w_2(x^A) \cdots, w_n(x^A)) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ for the weight. One can then pass to a total weight $\#(x^A) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i(x^A)$. In this work we will only require up to a bi-weight. That is at most the weights will take their values in $\mathbb{Z}^2$.

A vector bundle structure $E \rightarrow M$ is equivalent to the total space of the anti-vector bundle ΠE having a certain graded structure, under the assumption of no external weighted parameters being employed. To be more specific, let us employ natural coordinates $\{x^A, \xi^\alpha\}$ on ΠE . We assume M is just a manifold as opposed to a graded manifold. The parities being given by $\tilde{x}^A = \tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{\xi}^\alpha = \tilde{\alpha} + 1$. Furthermore, let us assign the weights $w(x^A) = 0$ and $w(\xi^\alpha) = 1$. Then the admissible changes of coordinates are necessarily of the form $\bar{x}^A = \bar{x}^A(x)$ and $\bar{\xi}^\alpha = \xi^\beta T_\beta^\alpha(x)$. Thus, we demonstrated this assertion. The zero section of $E \rightarrow M$ is identified with the zero weight part of ΠE . More correctly, $C^\infty(M) \subset C^\infty(\Pi E)$ as the zero weight subalgebra. Note, that other choices in weight are also perfectly valid.

DEFINITION 7. A vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ is said to have an L_∞ -algebroid structure if there exists a homological vector field $Q \in \text{Vect}(\Pi E)$. That is, the total space of the anti-vector bundle ΠE is a Q -manifold. The pair $(\Pi E, Q)$ will be known as an L_∞ -algebroid.

Note that there is no condition on the weight of the homological vector field in this definition. Recall that for a Lie algebroid the weight of the homological vector field is one.

Throughout this work the Q -manifold $(\Pi E, Q)$ is considered as the *primary object*. Morphisms of L_∞ -algebroids are understood as morphisms in the category of (graded) Q -manifolds.

If we employ natural local coordinates $\{x^A, \xi^\alpha\}$ the homological vector field is of the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
Q &= Q^A(x, \xi) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^A} + Q^\alpha(x, \xi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^\alpha} \\
&= \left(Q^A(x) + \xi^\alpha Q_\alpha^A(x) + \frac{1}{2!} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta Q_{\beta\alpha}^A(x) + \cdots \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^A} \\
&\quad + \left(Q^\alpha(x) + \xi^\beta Q_\beta^\alpha(x) + \frac{1}{2!} \xi^\beta \xi^\gamma Q_{\gamma\beta}^\alpha(x) + \cdots \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^\alpha}.
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Recall that the algebra of smooth functions on a graded manifold is understood as the formal completion of the polynomial algebra in weighted coordinates. Thus, the components of the homological vector field may be understood very formally. Alternatively, more concretely one could consider only finite order polynomials. This leads to the notion a Lie n -algebroid as an L_∞ -algebroid whose homological vector field concentrated in weight up to $n - 1$. We will not dwell on this.

DEFINITION 8. An L_∞ -algebroid $(\Pi E, Q)$ is said to be a strict L_∞ -algebroid if and only if the homological vector field along the “zero section” $M \subset \Pi E$ is a homological vector field on M .

In local coordinates this is the statement that $Q^\alpha(x) = 0$. In a more invariant language, an L_∞ -algebroid is strict if and only if the homological vector field $Q \in \text{Vect}(\Pi E)$ is the formal sum of strictly non-negative weight vector fields: $Q = \sum_{i=0}^\infty Q_i$. Such a condition automatically holds for Lie algebroids and reproduces the notion of a strict L_∞ -algebra thought of as an L_∞ -algebroid over a “point”.

Throughout this work we will not insist upon strictness *a priori*, though it will feature later when discussing higher Schouten and higher Poisson structures associated with L_∞ -algebroids.

Aside: An L_∞ -algebroid can also be understood as an L_∞ -algebra on the module of sections $\Gamma(E)$ such that the higher anchors arise in terms of the Leibnitz rule. A little more specifically (being quite lax about signs) one has

$$[u_1, \dots, u_r, f, u_{r+1}] = a(u_1, \dots, u_r)[f]u_{r+1} \pm f[u_1, \dots, u_r, u_{r+1}], \tag{17}$$

with $u_i \in \Gamma(E)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$. In terms of a basis s_α ($\tilde{s}_\alpha = \tilde{\alpha}$) the anchors and brackets are given by:

$$a(s_{\alpha_1}, \dots, s_{\alpha_r}) = \pm Q_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_r}^A \frac{\partial}{\partial x^A}, \tag{18a}$$

$$[s_{\alpha_1}, \dots, s_{\alpha_r}] = \pm Q_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_r}^\beta s_\beta. \tag{18b}$$

The condition of strictness on the homological vector field $Q \in \text{Vect}(\Pi E)$ is identical to the L_∞ -algebra on the module of sections being strict. That is there is no zero-bracket. However, there is still (potentially) a zero-anchor. We believe that the formulation in terms of Q-manifolds is clearer and more powerful than considering the module of sections.

3. The higher Schouten and Poisson structures associated with an L_∞ -algebroid

We are now in a position to state and prove the main theorem of this paper.

THEOREM 1. *An L_∞ -algebroid $(\Pi E, Q)$ is equivalent to:*

1. *A higher Schouten structure $S \in C^\infty(T^*(\Pi E^*))$ of total weight one.*
2. *A higher Poisson structure $P \in C^\infty(\Pi T^*(E^*))$ of total weight one.*

Proof. Let us employ natural coordinates $\{x^A, \xi^\alpha\}$ on ΠE . Let the homological vector field defining the L_∞ -algebroid be given by $Q = Q^A(x, \xi) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^A} + Q^\alpha(x, \xi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^\alpha} \in \text{Vect}(\Pi E)$.

1. Let us employ natural local coordinates $\{x^A, \eta_\alpha, p_A, \pi^\alpha\}$ and $\{x^A, \xi^\alpha, p_A, \pi_\alpha\}$ on $T^*(\Pi E^*)$ and $T^*(\Pi E)$ respectively, see Appendix(A1.). The bi-weights are assigned as $w(x^A) = (0, 0)$, $w(\eta_\alpha) = (1, 0)$, $w(p_A) = (0, 1)$, $w(\pi^\alpha) = (-1, 1)$, $w(\xi^\alpha) = (-1, 1)$, $w(\pi_\alpha) = (1, 0)$. Note, these weights are compatible with the double vector bundle structures. Then taking the even principle symbol¹ $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^A} \rightarrow p_A$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^\alpha} \rightarrow \pi_\alpha$ of the homological vector field gives:

$$\sigma Q = Q^A(x, \xi) p_A + Q^\alpha(x, \xi) \pi_\alpha \in C^\infty(T^*(\Pi E)). \quad (19)$$

It is well-know that the even principle symbol maps commutators of vector fields to canonical Poisson brackets. This can very easily be directly verified and directly follows from the definition of the principle symbol. Thus,

$$\sigma[Q, Q] = \{\sigma Q, \sigma Q\}_{T^*(\Pi E)} = 0. \quad (20)$$

Then use the canonical double vector bundle morphism (see Appendix(A1.) and/or [11, 31, 43])

$R : T^*(\Pi E^*) \rightarrow T^*(\Pi E)$ given by $R^*(\pi_\alpha) = \eta_\alpha$ and $R^*(\xi^\alpha) = (-1)^{\tilde{\alpha}} \pi^\alpha$ to define

$$S = (R^{-1})^*(\sigma Q) = Q^A(x, \pi) p_A + Q^\alpha(x, \pi) \eta_\alpha \in C^\infty(T^*(\Pi E^*)), \quad (21)$$

where we have used the shorthand notation $Q^A(x, \pi) = (R^{-1})^* Q^A(x, \pi)$ and $Q^\alpha(x, \pi) = (R^{-1})^* Q^\alpha(x, \pi)$. In essence this is just the change of variables $\pi_\alpha \rightarrow \eta_\alpha$ and $\xi^\alpha \rightarrow (-1)^{\tilde{\alpha}} \pi^\alpha$ in the algebra of weighted polynomials. The condition $\{S, S\}_{T^*(\Pi E^*)} = 0$ follows from the fact that the canonical double vector bundle morphism is a symplectomorphism, see Lemma(A1.). Thus, S is a higher Schouten structure on the total space of ΠE^* see Def.(4). Furthermore, it is clear that $\#(S) = 1$ by inspection.

2. Let is employ natural local coordinates $\{x^A, e_\alpha, x_A^*, e_*^\alpha\}$ and $\{x^A, \xi^\alpha, x_A^*, \xi_\alpha^*\}$ on $\Pi T^*(E^*)$ and $\Pi T^*(\Pi E)$ respectively, see Appendix(A2.). The bi-weights are assigned as $w(x^A) = (0, 0)$, $w(e_\alpha) = (1, 0)$, $w(x_A^*) = (0, 1)$, $w(e_*^\alpha) = (-1, 1)$,

¹see for example Hörmander [23].

$w(\xi^\alpha) = (-1, 1)$, $w(\xi_\alpha^*) = (1, 0)$. Note, these weights are compatible with the double vector bundle structures. Then taking the odd principle symbol (a.k.a. odd isomorphism [39]) $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^A} \rightarrow x_A^*$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^\alpha} \rightarrow \xi_\alpha^*$ of Q gives:

$$\varsigma Q = Q^A(x, \xi) x_A^* + Q^\alpha(x, \xi) \xi_\alpha^* \in C^\infty(\Pi T^*(\Pi E)). \quad (22)$$

The odd principle symbol maps commutators of vector fields to canonical Schouten(–Nijenhuis) brackets. This can be easily and directly verified. Thus,

$$\varsigma[Q, Q] = \llbracket \varsigma Q, \varsigma Q \rrbracket_{\Pi T^*(\Pi E)} = 0. \quad (23)$$

Then use the canonical double vector bundle morphism (see Appendix(A2.) and/or [11])

$R : \Pi T^*(E^*) \rightarrow \Pi T^*(\Pi E)$ given by $R^*(\xi_\alpha^*) = -e_\alpha$ and $R^*(\xi^\alpha) = e_\alpha^*$ to define

$$P = (R^{-1})^*(\varsigma Q) = Q^A(x, e_*) x_A^* - Q^\alpha(x, e_*) e_\alpha \in C^\infty(\Pi T^*(E^*)), \quad (24)$$

we have used the shorthand notation $Q^A(x, e_*) = (R^{-1})^* Q^A(x, e_*)$ and $Q^\alpha(x, e_*) = (R^{-1})^* Q^\alpha(x, e_*)$. In essence this is just the change of variables $\xi_\alpha^* \rightarrow -e_\alpha$ and $\xi^\alpha \rightarrow e_\alpha^*$ in the algebra of weighted polynomials. The condition $\llbracket P, P \rrbracket_{\Pi T^*(E^*)} = 0$ follows from the fact that the canonical double vector bundle morphism is a symplectomorphism, see Lemma(A2.). Thus P is a higher Poisson structure on E^* , see Def.(3). Furthermore, it is clear that $\#(P) = 1$ by inspection.

□

Remark Generally a Q-manifold $(\mathcal{M}, Q_\mathcal{M})$ (possibly in the category of graded manifolds) can be considered as a higher Schouten or higher Poisson manifold of “order one”. That is the associated L_∞ -algebras on $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ consist of a single one-bracket. This is implemented via $Q_\mathcal{M} \rightsquigarrow S_\mathcal{M} = \sigma Q_\mathcal{M}$ or $Q_\mathcal{M} \rightsquigarrow P_\mathcal{M} = \varsigma Q_\mathcal{M}$. Note that in fact any “order one” Schouten or Poisson structure is equivalent to a homological vector field. For the case at hand the “higher order structure” is in some sense *induced* by the canonical double vector bundle morphisms.

Let us examine the local expressions in a little more detail. Explicitly, if the homological vector field is formally given by:

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{r!} \xi^{\alpha_1} \xi^{\alpha_2} \dots \xi^{\alpha_r} Q_{\alpha_r \dots \alpha_2 \alpha_1}^A(x) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^A} \\ &+ \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{r!} \xi^{\alpha_1} \xi^{\alpha_2} \dots \xi^{\alpha_r} Q_{\alpha_r \dots \alpha_2 \alpha_1}^\beta(x) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^\beta}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

then we have:

$$S = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left((-1)^{\tilde{\alpha}_1 + \dots + \tilde{\alpha}_r} \frac{1}{r!} \pi^{\alpha_1} \pi^{\alpha_2} \dots \pi^{\alpha_r} Q_{\alpha_r \dots \alpha_2 \alpha_1}^A(x) \right) p_A \quad (26a)$$

$$+ \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left((-1)^{\tilde{\alpha}_1 + \dots + \tilde{\alpha}_r} \frac{1}{r!} \pi^{\alpha_1} \pi^{\alpha_2} \dots \pi^{\alpha_r} Q_{\alpha_r \dots \alpha_2 \alpha_1}^\beta(x) \right) \eta_\beta,$$

$$P = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{r!} e_*^{\alpha_1} e_*^{\alpha_2} \dots e_*^{\alpha_r} Q_{\alpha_r \dots \alpha_2 \alpha_1}^A(x) \right) x_A^* \quad (26b)$$

$$- \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{r!} e_*^{\alpha_1} e_*^{\alpha_2} \dots e_*^{\alpha_r} Q_{\alpha_r \dots \alpha_2 \alpha_1}^\beta(x) \right) e_\beta.$$

Remark The higher Schouten and higher Poisson structures associated with an L_∞ -algebroid are far from being the most general structures that could be studied. The total weight one ensures the higher structures have the correct “linearity”. This opens up another possible generalisation of Lie algebroids as objects dual to more general higher Schouten and higher Poisson structures on the total spaces of ΠE^* and E^* respectively.

These structures provide the algebras $C^\infty(\Pi E^*)$ and $C^\infty(E^*)$ with a series of brackets that form homotopy Schouten and homotopy Poisson algebras respectively. That is L_∞ -algebras in the sense of Lada & Stasheff [29] suitably “superised” such that the brackets are multiderivations over the commutative product of functions, see Def.(2) and Def.(1).

Note that the higher structures can be presented as the (formal) sum of components homogeneous in bi-weight $w = (w_1, w_2) = (1 - n, n)$, for $n \geq 0$. The second weight w_2 gives the “tensor order” of the component and thus describes the *arity* of the bracket associated with that component. The first weight w_1 gives the *weight* of the bracket associated with that component. That is the n -ary bracket on $C^\infty(\Pi E^*)$ or $C^\infty(E^*)$ is of weight $(1 - n)$. Recall that the algebras $C^\infty(\Pi E^*)$ and $C^\infty(E^*)$ naturally carry the weight associated with the bundle vector bundle structure $E^* \rightarrow M$.

The higher Schouten brackets on $C^\infty(\Pi E^*)$, that is “vector bundle multivector fields” are provided by:

$$(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r)_S = \{ \dots \{ \{ S, X_1 \}, X_2, \dots \} X_r \} |_{\Pi E^* \subset T^*(\Pi E^*)}, \quad (27)$$

where $X_I \in C^\infty(\Pi E^*)$ and the brackets are canonical Poisson brackets on $T^*(\Pi E^*)$.

Similarly, the higher Poisson brackets on $C^\infty(E^*)$, that is “vector bundle symmetric contravariant tensors” are provided by:

$$\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_r\}_P = (-1)^\varepsilon \llbracket \dots \llbracket [P, F_1], F_2 \rrbracket, \dots, F_r \rrbracket |_{E^* \subset \Pi T^*(E^*)}, \quad (28)$$

where $F_I \in C^\infty(E^*)$ and the brackets are the canonical Schouten–Nijenhuis brackets on $\Pi T^*(E^*)$. The sign factor is given by $\varepsilon = (\tilde{F}_1(r-1) + \tilde{F}_2(r-2) + \cdots + \tilde{F}_{r-1} + r)$

We are now in a position to state a few direct corollaries to Theorem(1).

COROLLARY 1. *For a strict L_∞ -algebroid $(\Pi E, Q)$, the associated higher Schouten and higher Poisson algebras on $C^\infty(\Pi E^*)$ and $C^\infty(E^*)$ are as L_∞ -algebras both strict.*

In terms of the higher Schouten and higher Poisson structures themselves, this translates to the condition $S|_{\Pi E^* \subset T^*(\Pi E^*)} = 0$ and $P|_{E^* \subset \Pi T^*(E^*)} = 0$. This is clear from counting the weight(s) or just examining the local expressions. This justifies our nomenclature. Note that Lie algebroids give rise to “classical-binary” Schouten and Poisson structures which are clearly strict as higher structures.

By thinking of L_∞ -algebras to be L_∞ -algebroids over a “point” we arrive at another corollary.

COROLLARY 2. *An L_∞ -algebra $(U, \{\cdot, \dots, \cdot\})$ is equivalent to:*

1. *a homological vector field $Q \in \text{Vect}(\Pi U)$.*
2. *a homotopy Schouten algebra on $C^\infty(\Pi U^*)$, with the n -th bracket of natural weight $(1-n)$.*
3. *a homotopy Poisson algebra on $C^\infty(U^*)$, with the n -th bracket of natural weight $(1-n)$.*

If the L_∞ -algebra is strict, Q vanishes at the origin, the associated homotopy Schouten and homotopy Poisson algebras are as L_∞ -algebras both strict.

The above directly generalises what is known about Lie algebras. These higher Schouten and Poisson brackets are considered to be the homotopy generalisation of the Lie–Schouten and Lie–Poisson bracket. To the authors knowledge, this association of homotopy Schouten and homotopy Poisson algebras with general L_∞ -algebras has not appeared in the literature before.

Let us be more explicit here. Let the homological vector field describing an arbitrary L_∞ -algebra be given by

$$Q = \left(Q^\delta + \xi^\alpha Q_\alpha^\delta + \frac{1}{2!} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta Q_{\beta\alpha}^\delta + \frac{1}{3!} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta \xi^\gamma Q_{\gamma\beta\alpha}^\delta + \cdots \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^\delta} \in \text{Vect}(\Pi U). \quad (29)$$

Then picking an “odd” basis $s_\alpha \in \Pi U$, $\tilde{s}_\alpha = \tilde{\alpha} + 1$ the symmetric brackets on ΠU are given by

$$(s_{\alpha_1}, s_{\alpha_2}, \dots, s_{\alpha_r}) = (-1)^{(\sum_{i=1}^r \tilde{\alpha}_i)} Q_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_r}^\beta s_\beta. \quad (30)$$

The associated higher Lie–Schouten brackets on $C^\infty(\Pi U^*)$ are also symmetric and given by

$$(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r)_S = (-1)^\epsilon Q_{\alpha_r \dots \alpha_2 \alpha_1}^\beta \eta_\beta \frac{\partial X_1}{\partial \eta_{\alpha_1}} \frac{\partial X_2}{\partial \eta_{\alpha_2}} \dots \frac{\partial X_r}{\partial \eta_{\alpha_r}}, \quad (31)$$

the sign factor is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &= \widetilde{X}_1 (\widetilde{\alpha}_2 + \widetilde{\alpha}_3 + \dots \widetilde{\alpha}_r + r + 1) \\ &+ \widetilde{X}_2 (\widetilde{\alpha}_3 + \widetilde{\alpha}_4 + \dots \widetilde{\alpha}_r + r + 2) \\ &+ \widetilde{X}_3 (\widetilde{\alpha}_4 + \widetilde{\alpha}_5 + \dots \widetilde{\alpha}_r + r + 3) \\ &\vdots \\ &+ \widetilde{X}_{r-2} (\widetilde{\alpha}_{r-1} + \widetilde{\alpha}_r - 2) \\ &+ \widetilde{X}_{r-1} (\widetilde{\alpha}_r - 1) \\ &+ \widetilde{\alpha}_1 + \widetilde{\alpha}_2 + \dots \widetilde{\alpha}_r. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Specifically, the *fundamental Lie–Schouten brackets* are given by

$$(\eta_{\alpha_1}, \eta_{\alpha_2}, \dots, \eta_{\alpha_r})_S = (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\alpha}_i} Q_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_r}^\beta \eta_\beta. \quad (33)$$

Alternatively one can consider brackets on U that are skew-symmetric. By picking a basis $T_\alpha (= \Pi s_\alpha)$ the are given by

$$\{T_{\alpha_1}, T_{\alpha_2}, \dots, T_{\alpha_r}\} = (-1)^{(\sum_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\alpha}_i(r-i+1)+1)} Q_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_r}^\beta T_\beta. \quad (34)$$

The associated higher Lie–Poisson brackets on $C^\infty(U^*)$ are anti-symmetric and given by

$$\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_r\}_P = (-1)^\epsilon Q_{\alpha_r \dots \alpha_2 \alpha_1}^\beta e_\beta \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial e_{\alpha_1}} \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial e_{\alpha_2}} \dots \frac{\partial F_r}{\partial e_{\alpha_r}}, \quad (35)$$

the sign factor here is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &= (\widetilde{F}_1 + 1)(\widetilde{\alpha}_2 + \widetilde{\alpha}_3 + \dots \widetilde{\alpha}_r + r + 1) \\ &+ (\widetilde{F}_2 + 1)(\widetilde{\alpha}_3 + \widetilde{\alpha}_4 + \dots \widetilde{\alpha}_r + r + 2) \\ &\vdots \\ &+ (\widetilde{F}_{r-1} + 1)\widetilde{\alpha}_r \\ &+ \widetilde{F}_1(r-1) + \widetilde{F}_2(r-1) + \dots \widetilde{F}_{r-1} \\ &+ \widetilde{\alpha}_1 + \widetilde{\alpha}_2 + \dots + \widetilde{\alpha}_r + 1. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Specifically, the *fundamental Lie–Poisson brackets* are given by

$$\{e_{\alpha_1}, e_{\alpha_2}, \dots, e_{\alpha_r}\}_P = (-1)^{(\sum_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\alpha}_i(r-i+1)+1)} Q_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_r}^\beta e_\beta. \quad (37)$$

Statement: The higher Schouten and Poisson algebras contain the original L_∞ -algebra. More correctly:

- Let us view the vector space $\Pi U \subset C^\infty(\Pi U^*)$ as the weight one functions. Then the L_∞ -algebra brackets on ΠU are exactly given by the restriction of the Lie–Schouten brackets to weight one functions.
- Let us view the vector space $U \subset C^\infty(U^*)$ as weight one functions. Then the L_∞ -algebra brackets on U are exactly given by the restriction of the Lie–Poisson brackets to weight one functions.

It is largely a matter of taste if one wishes to work with “odd” or “even” structures when dealing with L_∞ -algebras in the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded setting. However, there is in general less sign factors to handle when working with odd structures. Furthermore, due to the relation with Voronov’s higher derived bracket formalism it seems very natural to consider odd symmetric brackets and higher Schouten structures as being in some sense primitive or fundamental.

Remark The association of an homotopy Schouten algebra with an L_∞ -algebra opens up the possibility of describing L_∞ -bialgebras following the recipe of Roytenberg [34] and Voronov [43] who develop the theory of Lie bialgebroids. A little more specifically, one can define the notion of an L_∞ -bialgebra as an L_∞ -algebra $(\Pi U, Q_U)$ together with an L_∞ -algebra on the dual space $(\Pi U^*, Q_{U^*})$ such that the homological vector field Q_U satisfies a Leibnitz rule over the higher Schouten brackets on $C^\infty(\Pi U)$. The notion of a homotopy version of a Lie bialgebra can be traced back to the work of Kravchenko [27], in a $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded setting. It would be very desirable to understand the details of how the original constructions of Kravchenko relate to that suggested here. We hope to present details elsewhere.

4. Simple Examples

Let us present a few simple examples to help clarify Theorem(1). In order to keep this section relatively simple and self-contained we will concentrate on low order structures. Where appropriate we direct the reader to the original literature for further details.

EXAMPLE 1. The de Rham differential and canonical structures

Consider the tangent bundle of a manifold TM . The relevant homological vector field is the de Rham differential. The associated brackets are the canonical Schouten–Nijenhuis bracket on ΠT^*M and the canonical Poisson bracket on T^*M . The de Rham differential is of weight one and the Schouten/Poisson structures are of bi-weight $(-1, 2)$.

$$Q = d = dx^A \frac{\partial}{\partial x^A} \in \text{Vect}(\Pi TM), \quad (38a)$$

$$S = (-1)^{\tilde{A}} \pi^A p_A \in C^\infty(T^*(\Pi T^*M)), \quad (38b)$$

$$P = e_*^A x_A^* \in C^\infty(\Pi T^*(T^*M)). \quad (38c)$$

EXAMPLE 2. Lie algebroids

By concentrating on $n = 2$ one naturally recovers Lie algebroids.

$$Q = \xi^\alpha Q_\alpha^A \frac{\partial}{\partial x^A} + \frac{1}{2!} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta Q_{\beta\alpha}^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^\gamma} \in \text{Vect}(\Pi E), \quad (39a)$$

$$S = (-1)^{\tilde{\alpha}} \pi^\alpha Q_\alpha^A p_A + (-1)^{\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}} \frac{1}{2!} \pi^\alpha \pi^\beta Q_{\beta\alpha}^\gamma \eta_\gamma \in C^\infty(T^*(\Pi E^*)), \quad (39b)$$

$$P = e_*^\alpha Q_\alpha^A x_A^* - \frac{1}{2!} e_*^\alpha e_*^\beta Q_{\beta\alpha}^\gamma e_\gamma \in C^\infty(\Pi T^*(E^*)). \quad (39c)$$

Note that for a Lie algebroid the homological vector field $Q \in \text{Vect}(\Pi E)$ is of weight one and that the Schouten and Poisson structures are of bi-weight $(-1, 2)$. Naturally taking the base manifold to be a “point” one recovers Lie algebras.

EXAMPLE 3. Lie 3-algebroids

A Lie 3-algebroid is an L_∞ -algebroid $(\Pi E, Q)$ such that the homological vector field is concentrated in weight from minus one up to and including weight two.

$$\begin{aligned} Q = & \left(Q^A + \xi^\alpha Q_\alpha^A + \frac{1}{2!} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta Q_{\beta\alpha}^A \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^A} \\ & + \left(Q^\delta + \xi^\alpha Q_\alpha^\delta + \frac{1}{2!} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta Q_{\beta\alpha}^\delta + \frac{1}{3!} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta \xi^\gamma Q_{\gamma\beta\alpha}^\delta \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^\delta} \in \text{Vect}(\Pi E). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

The associated higher Schouten and higher Poisson structures are given by

$$\begin{aligned} S = & \left(Q^A + (-1)^{\tilde{\alpha}} \pi^\alpha Q_\alpha^A + (-1)^{\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}} \frac{1}{2!} \pi^\alpha \pi^\beta Q_{\beta\alpha}^A \right) p_A \\ & + \left(Q^\delta + (-1)^{\tilde{\alpha}} \pi^\alpha Q_\alpha^\delta + (-1)^{\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}} \frac{1}{2!} \pi^\alpha \pi^\beta Q_{\beta\alpha}^\delta \right. \\ & \left. + (-1)^{\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}+\tilde{\gamma}} \frac{1}{3!} \pi^\alpha \pi^\beta \pi^\gamma Q_{\gamma\beta\alpha}^\delta \right) \eta_\delta \in C^\infty(T^*(\Pi E^*)). \end{aligned} \quad (41a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P = & \left(Q^A + e_*^\alpha Q_\alpha^A + \frac{1}{2!} e_*^\alpha e_*^\beta Q_{\beta\alpha}^A \right) x_A^* \\ & - \left(Q^\delta + e_*^\alpha Q_\alpha^\delta + \frac{1}{2!} e_*^\alpha e_*^\beta Q_{\beta\alpha}^\delta + \frac{1}{3!} e_*^\alpha e_*^\beta e_*^\gamma Q_{\gamma\beta\alpha}^\delta \right) e_\delta \in C^\infty(\Pi T^*(E^*)). \end{aligned} \quad (41b)$$

Note the higher structures consist of the sum of bi-weight $(1, 0)$, $(0, 1)$, $(-1, 2)$ and $(-2, 3)$ terms. Thus, the homotopy Schouten/Poisson algebras consist of 0-ary, 1-ary, 2-ary and 3-ary brackets. Taking the base manifold to be a “point” one is lead to what is known as a Lie 3-algebra.

EXAMPLE 4. Graded 3-Lie algebras

Let U be a (super)vector space. A graded (or super) 3-Lie algebra is taken to be an L_∞ -algebra such that the homological vector field $Q \in \text{Vect}(\Pi U)$ is concentrated in weight two.

$$Q = \frac{1}{3!} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta \xi^\gamma Q_{\gamma\beta\alpha}^\delta \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^\delta} \in \text{Vect}(\Pi U). \quad (42)$$

The associated higher Schouten and higher Poisson structures of bi-weight $(-2, 3)$

$$S = (-1)^{\tilde{\alpha} + \tilde{\beta} + \tilde{\gamma}} \frac{1}{3!} \pi^\alpha \pi^\beta \pi^\gamma Q_{\gamma\beta\alpha}^\delta \eta_\delta \in C^\infty(T^*(\Pi U^*)). \quad (43a)$$

$$P = -\frac{1}{3!} e_*^\alpha e_*^\beta e_*^\gamma Q_{\gamma\beta\alpha}^\delta e_\delta \in C^\infty(\Pi T^*(U^*)). \quad (43b)$$

The homotopy Schouten and Poisson algebras consist of a single ternary bracket. Note that this is not the same as a Lie 3-algebroid over a “point”, i.e. a Lie 3-algebra which consists of a series of brackets for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

Aside: If the L_∞ -algebra has only a non-vanishing n -array bracket then one has a *graded n -Lie algebra*. These are not quite the same as Filippov’s n -Lie algebras [18] due to the underlying gradings of weight and Grassmann parity. Such algebras are described by a weight $(n-1)$ homological vector field. The associated higher Schouten/Poisson structures are of bi-weight $(1-n, n)$. The Bagger–Lambert–Gustavsson model [3, 4, 22] (plus many other references) of multiple coincident M2-branes is constructed using (metric) 3-Lie algebras. Reformulating the BLG-model and the generalised Nham equation of Basu & Harvey [5] in the language of L_∞ -algebras was undertaken by Iuliu-Lazaroiu et al [25]. Also Lambert & Papageorgakis [30] very recently provided evidence that 3-array algebras are also fundamental in the effective description of M5 branes. There seems to be some deep link between M-theory and n -array algebras. Thus, it is natural to wonder if any of the work presented in this paper is of any relevance here. A little care over the sign factors appearing in the constructions would be required. However, this should be very tractable.

EXAMPLE 5. Higher Poisson structures on Lie algebroids

This example is taken directly from [11], also see [26] for the specific case where $E = TM$. Recall that a Lie algebroid $E \rightarrow M$ is completely encoded in a weight minus one Schouten bracket on $C^\infty(\Pi E^*)$, see Example(2). Let us denote this Schouten bracket as $\llbracket \bullet, \bullet \rrbracket_E$. A higher Poisson structure on the Lie algebroid E is defined as an even parity, but generally inhomogeneous in weight “multivector” $\mathcal{P} \in C^\infty(\Pi E^*)$ such that $\llbracket \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P} \rrbracket_E = 0$. Associated with such a structure is an homotopy Poisson algebra over the base manifold. The vector bundle $E^* \rightarrow M$ comes equipped with the structure of an L_∞ -algebroid viz $Q_{\mathcal{P}} = -\llbracket \mathcal{P}, \bullet \rrbracket_E \in \text{Vect}(\Pi E^*)$.

Then via Theorem(1) we see that

1. The algebra of “differential forms” $C^\infty(\Pi E)$ comes equipped with an homotopy Schouten algebra.
2. The algebra of “symmetric tensors” $C^\infty(E)$ comes equipped with an homotopy Poisson algebra.

5. Concluding remarks

We must remark that we have worked in the “super-setting” and that the (bi-)weight attached to the coordinates and the brackets keep track of the “algebra” in a geometric way. Although there is no canonical choice of weights, the ones used here seem quite natural as far as the geometry is concerned. For the specific constructions relating to L_∞ -algebras, it is possible to amend the constructions presented in this work to be inline with the original gradings of Lada & Stasheff [28, 29].

Voronov [45] recently defined *non-linear Lie algebroids*¹ as homological vector fields of weight one over non-negatively graded manifolds. It is natural to consider inhomogeneous homological vector fields over a non-negatively graded manifold as a further generalisation of L_∞ -algebroids.

However, it is not clear how the constructions presented here would carry over to homological vector fields over non-negatively graded manifolds, more general graded manifolds or even derived manifolds. The graded structures associated with vector and double vector bundle structures feature prominently. The presence of “linear structures” is essential in dualising, applying the parity reversion functor and quite critically in employing the double vector bundle morphisms. One other direction is to consider higher vector bundles and “higher Legendre transformations”. Multigraded manifolds can be employed to set-up the theory of higher vector bundles, see for example [21, 43, 44]. This sits comfortably with the constructions presented here. The notion of multiple L_∞ -algebroids would require a good understanding of such structures over multigraded manifolds.

The relation between L_∞ -algebroids (as defined here) and the BV and BFV formalisms is not completely transparent. For example, very similar structures can be found when generalising the BV formalism to higher or nonabelian antibrackets [2, 8, 9, 10]. A clear and precise understanding of the role of L_∞ -algebroids in quantum field theory would be very desirable. However, generally further weights including non-positive weights would be required for a complete understanding. Thus, geometric structures over derived manifolds are likely to be key in uncovering the relevance of Theorem(1) in quantum field theory [36]. In any case, it is tempting to think of L_∞ -algebroid structures (Eqn.(16)) as some kind of inhomogeneous higher ghost number BRST operator akin to that found in [12, 15]. The physical or mathematical relevance of such operators is not immediately obvious, further study is required.

At present higher Poisson and Schouten structures over supermanifolds or on Lie algebroids provide the most natural non-trivial examples of L_∞ -algebroids. With hindsight this was to be expected given the intimate relation between Lie algebroids and Poisson geometry. Theorem(1) together with results found in [11, 26] demonstrate that this relation passes over to their homotopy relatives without substantial effort. The key to this relative ease is to employ graded manifolds.

¹In fact in Voronov in [43] defines *non-linear Lie bialgebroids*.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank D. Roytenberg, U. Schreiber and J. Stasheff for their invaluable insight. The author must also thank R. Szabo for pointing out references to M-theory. A special thank you must go to the anonymous referee whose comments and suggestions served to vastly improve the presentation of this work.

Appendix

A Canonical double vector bundle morphisms

For completeness we present the canonical double vector bundle morphisms used in the proof of the main theorem. In particular we prove that the morphisms are symplectomorphisms. Further details and application of these morphisms can be found in [11, 34, 43].

A1. $T^*(\Pi E^*)$ and $T^*(\Pi E)$

Let us employ natural local coordinates:

$T^*(\Pi E^*)$	$\{x^A, \eta_\alpha, p_A, \pi^\alpha\}$
$T^*(\Pi E)$	$\{x^A, \xi^\alpha, p_A, \pi_\alpha\}$

The parities are given by $\tilde{x}^A = \tilde{p}_A = \tilde{A}$, $\tilde{\eta}_\alpha = \tilde{\pi}^\alpha = \tilde{\pi}_\alpha = \tilde{\xi}^\alpha = \tilde{\alpha} + 1$. The bi-weights are assigned as $w(x^A) = (0, 0)$, $w(\eta_\alpha) = (1, 0)$, $w(p_A) = (0, 1)$, $w(\pi^\alpha) = (-1, 1)$, $w(\xi^\alpha) = (-1, 1)$, $w(\pi_\alpha) = (1, 0)$.

The admissible changes of coordinates are:

$T^*(\Pi E^*)$	$\bar{x}^A = \bar{x}^A(x), \quad \bar{\eta}_\alpha = (T^{-1})_\alpha^\beta \eta_\beta,$ $\bar{p}_A = \left(\frac{\partial x^B}{\partial \bar{x}^A} \right) p_B + (-1)^{\tilde{A}(\tilde{\gamma}+1)+\tilde{\delta}} \pi^\delta T_\delta^\gamma \left(\frac{\partial (T^{-1})_\gamma^\alpha}{\partial \bar{x}^A} \right) \eta_\alpha,$ $\bar{\pi}^\alpha = (-1)^{\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}} \pi^\beta T_\beta^\alpha.$
$T^*(\Pi E)$	$\bar{x}^A = \bar{x}^A(x), \quad \bar{\xi}^\alpha = \xi^\beta T_\beta^\alpha,$ $\bar{p}_A = \left(\frac{\partial x^B}{\partial \bar{x}^A} \right) p_B + (-1)^{\tilde{A}(\tilde{\gamma}+1)} \xi^\delta T_\delta^\gamma \left(\frac{\partial (T^{-1})_\gamma^\alpha}{\partial \bar{x}^A} \right) \pi_\alpha,$ $\bar{\pi}_\alpha = (T^{-1})_\alpha^\beta \pi_\beta.$

There is canonical double vector bundle morphism $R : T^*(\Pi E^*) \rightarrow T^*(\Pi E)$ given in local coordinates as

$$R^*(\pi_\alpha) = \eta_\alpha, \quad R^*(\xi^\alpha) = (-1)^{\tilde{\alpha}} \pi^\alpha. \quad (44)$$

LEMMA 1. *The canonical double vector bundle morphism $R : T^*(\Pi E^*) \rightarrow T^*(\Pi E)$ is a symplectomorphism.*

Proof. The canonical even symplectic structure on $T^*(\Pi E^*)$ is given by $\omega_{T^*(\Pi E^*)} = dp_A dx^A + d\pi^\alpha d\eta_\alpha$ and on $T^*(\Pi E)$ is given by $\omega_{T^*(\Pi E)} = dp_A dx^A + d\pi_\alpha d\xi^\alpha$. Thus, $R^* \omega_{T^*(\Pi E)} = \omega_{T^*(\Pi E^*)}$ and we see that R is indeed a symplectomorphism. $\square$

A2. $\Pi T^*(E^*)$ and $\Pi T^*(\Pi E)$

Let us employ natural local coordinates:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \Pi T^*(E^*) & \{x^A, e_\alpha, x_A^*, e_\alpha^*\} \\ \Pi T^*(\Pi E) & \{x^A, \xi^\alpha, x_A^*, \xi_\alpha^*\} \end{array}$$

The parities are given by $\tilde{x}^A = \tilde{A}$, $\tilde{e}_\alpha = \xi_\alpha^* = \tilde{\alpha}$, $\tilde{x}_A^* = \tilde{A} + 1$, $\tilde{\xi}^\alpha = \tilde{e}_\alpha^* = \tilde{\alpha} + 1$. The bi-weights are assigned as $w(x^A) = (0, 0)$, $w(e_\alpha) = (1, 0)$, $w(x_A^*) = (0, 1)$, $w(e_\alpha^*) = (-1, 1)$, $w(\xi^\alpha) = (-1, 1)$, $w(\xi_\alpha^*) = (1, 0)$.

The admissible changes of coordinates are:

$\Pi T^*(E^*)$	$\begin{aligned} \bar{x}^A &= \bar{x}^A(x), \quad \bar{e}_\alpha = (T^{-1})_\alpha^\beta e_\beta, \\ \bar{x}_A^* &= \left(\frac{\partial x^B}{\partial \bar{x}^A} \right) x_B^* - (-1)^{\tilde{A}(\tilde{\gamma}+1)+\tilde{\delta}} e_\delta^* T_\delta^\gamma \left(\frac{\partial (T^{-1})_\gamma^\alpha}{\partial \bar{x}^A} \right) e_\alpha, \\ \bar{e}_\alpha^* &= e_\alpha^* T_\beta^\alpha. \end{aligned}$
$\Pi T^*(\Pi E)$	$\begin{aligned} \bar{x}^A &= \bar{x}^A(x), \quad \bar{\xi}^\alpha = \xi^\beta T_\beta^\alpha, \\ \bar{x}_A^* &= \left(\frac{\partial x^B}{\partial \bar{x}^A} \right) x_B^* + (-1)^{\tilde{A}(\tilde{\gamma}+1)} \xi_\delta^* T_\delta^\gamma \left(\frac{\partial (T^{-1})_\gamma^\alpha}{\partial \bar{x}^A} \right) \xi_\alpha^*, \\ \bar{\xi}_\alpha^* &= (T^{-1})_\alpha^\beta \xi_\beta^*. \end{aligned}$

There is a canonical double vector bundle morphism $R : \Pi T^*(E^*) \rightarrow \Pi T^*(\Pi E)$ given in local coordinates as

$$R^*(\xi^\alpha) = e_\alpha^*, \quad R^*(\xi_\alpha^*) = -e_\alpha. \quad (45)$$

LEMMA 2. *The canonical double vector bundle morphism $R : \Pi T^*(E^*) \rightarrow \Pi T^*(\Pi E)$ is an odd symplectomorphism.*

Proof. The canonical odd symplectic structures are given by $\omega_{\Pi T^*(E^*)} = (-1)^{\tilde{A}+1} dx_A^* dx^A + (-1)^{\tilde{\alpha}+1} de_\alpha^* de_\alpha$ and $\omega_{\Pi T^*(\Pi E)} = (-1)^{\tilde{A}+1} dx_A^* dx^A + (-1)^\alpha d\xi_\alpha^* d\xi^\alpha$. Thus $R^* \omega_{\Pi T^*(\Pi E)} = \omega_{\Pi T^*(E^*)}$ and we see that R is indeed an odd symplectomorphism. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Alexandrov, M. Kontsevich, A. Schwarz, and O. Zaboronsky. The Geometry of the master equation and topological quantum field theory. *Int. J. Mod. Phys.*, A12:1405–1430, 1997.
- [2] Jorge Alfaro and Poul H. Damgaard. Non-Abelian Antibrackets. *Phys. Lett.*, B369:289–294, 1996.
- [3] Jonathan Bagger and Neil Lambert. Modeling multiple M2’s. *Phys. Rev.*, D75:045020, 2007.
- [4] Jonathan Bagger and Neil Lambert. Gauge Symmetry and Supersymmetry of Multiple M2-Branes. *Phys. Rev.*, D77:065008, 2008.
- [5] Anirban Basu and Jeffrey A. Harvey. The M2-M5 brane system and a generalized Nahm’s equation. *Nucl. Phys.*, B713:136–150, 2005.
- [6] I. A. Batalin and G. A. Vilkovisky. Gauge Algebra and Quantization. *Phys. Lett.*, B102:27–31, 1981.
- [7] I. A. Batalin and G. A. Vilkovisky. Quantization of Gauge Theories with Linearly Dependent generators. *Phys. Rev.*, D28:2567–2582, 1983.
- [8] Igor Batalin and Robert Marnelius. Quantum antibrackets. *Phys. Lett.*, B434:312–320, 1998.
- [9] Igor Batalin and Robert Marnelius. General quantum antibrackets. *Theor. Math. Phys.*, 120:1115–1132, 1999.
- [10] Klaus Bering, Poul H. Damgaard, and Jorge Alfaro. Algebra of Higher Antibrackets. *Nucl. Phys.*, B478:459–504, 1996.
- [11] A.J. Bruce. Tulczyjew triples and higher Poisson/Schouten structures on Lie algebroids. *Rep. Math. Phys.*, 66(2), 2010. Also available as [arXiv:math-ph/0910.1243](https://arxiv.org/abs/math-ph/0910.1243).
- [12] C. Chrysomalakos, J. A. de Azcarraga, A. J. Macfarlane, and J. C. Perez Bueno. Higher order BRST and anti-BRST operators and cohomology for compact Lie algebras. *J. Math. Phys.*, 40:6009–6032, 1999.
- [13] J. A. de Azcárraga, A. M. Perelomov, and J. C. Perez Bueno. New Generalized Poisson Structures. *J. Phys.*, A29:L151–L157, 1996.
- [14] J. A. de Azcárraga, A. M. Perelomov, and J. C. Perez Bueno. The Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket, cohomology and generalized Poisson structures. *J. Phys.*, A29:7993–8010, 1996.
- [15] J. A. De Azcarraga and J. C. Perez Bueno. Higher-order simple Lie algebras. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 184:669–681, 1997.
- [16] Fradkin E.S. and Vilkovisky G.A. Quantization of relativistic systems with constraints. *Phys. Lett.*, b55:224–226, 1975.
- [17] A. Cattaneo & G. Felder. Relative formality theorem and quantisation of coisotropic submanifolds. *Adv. Math.*, 208(2):521–548, 2007.
- [18] V. T. Filippov. n-Lie algebras. *Sib. Mat. Zh.*, 26 (6):126–140, 1985.
- [19] Imma Galvez-Carrillo, Andy Tonks, and Bruno Vallette. Homotopy Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras. [arXiv.org:math/0907.2246](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0907.2246), 2009.
- [20] V. Ginzburg and M. Kapranov. Koszul duality for operads. *Duke Math. J.*, 1:203272, 1994.

- [21] J. Grabowski and M. Rotkiewicz. Higher vector bundles and multi-graded symplectic manifolds. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 59:1285–1305, 2009.
- [22] Andreas Gustavsson. Algebraic structures on parallel M2-branes. *Nucl. Phys.*, B811:66–76, 2009.
- [23] L. Hörmander. The analysis of linear partial differential operators. III. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 274, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985, viii + 522 pp.
- [24] Batalin I.A. and Vilkovisky G.A. Relativistic S matrix of dynamical systems with boson and fermion constraints. *Phys. Lett.*, b69:309–312, 1977.
- [25] Calin Iuliu-Lazaroiu, Daniel McNamee, Christian Saemann, and Aleksandar Zejak. Strong Homotopy Lie Algebras, Generalized Nahm Equations and Multiple M2-branes. 2009. [arXiv:0901.3905 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [26] H.M. Khudaverdian and Th. Th. Voronov. Higher Poisson Brackets and Differential Forms, 2008. [arXiv:math-ph/0808.3406v2](#).
- [27] Olga Kravchenko. Strongly homotopy Lie bialgebras and Lie quasi-bialgebras. 2006. [arXiv.org:math/0601301](#).
- [28] Tom Lada and Martin Markl. Strongly Homotopy Lie Algebras. 1994. [arXiv:9406095 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [29] Tom Lada and Jim Stasheff. Introduction to SH Lie algebras for physicists. *Int. J. Theor. Phys.*, 32:1087–1104, 1993.
- [30] Neil Lambert and Constantinos Papageorgakis. Nonabelian (2,0) Tensor Multiplets and 3-algebras. 2010, [arXiv:1007.2982 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [31] K.C.H. Mackenzie. On certain canonical diffeomorphisms in symplectic and Poisson geometry. In *Quantization, Poisson brackets and beyond*, volume 315 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 187–198. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [32] R.A. Mehta. On homotopy Poisson actions and reduction of symplectic Q-manifolds, 2010. [arXiv:1009.1280v1 \[math.SG\]](#).
- [33] J. Pradines. Theorie de lie pour les groupoides différentiables. Calcul différentiel dans la catégorie des groupoides infinitésimaux. *Comptes rendus Acad. Sci. Paris*, 264 A:245–248, 1967.
- [34] Dmitry Roytenberg. Courant algebroids, derived brackets and even symplectic supermanifolds. PhD thesis, UC Berkeley, 1999, [arXiv:math/9910078](#).
- [35] Dmitry Roytenberg. On the structure of graded symplectic supermanifolds and Courant algebroids. In *Quantization, Poisson Brackets and Beyond*, volume 315 of *Contemp. Math.*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [36] Urs Schreiber. Private communication.
- [37] D. Tamarkin and B. Tsygan. Noncommutative differential calculus, homotopy BV algebras and formality conjectures. [arXiv:math.KT/0002116](#), 2000.
- [38] A. Yu. Vaintrob. Lie algebroids and homological vector fields. *Uspekhi Matem.Nauk.*, 52(2):428–429, 1997.
- [39] Th. Th. Voronov. Geometric integration theory on supermanifolds. *Sov. Sci. Rev. C. Math. Phys.* **9**. Harwood Academic Publ., (1992) 142p.

- [40] Th. Th. Voronov. On the Poisson envelope of a Lie algebra. Noncommutative moment space. *Funk. Anal. Pril.*, 29(3):6164, 1995.
- [41] Th. Th. Voronov. Higher derived brackets and homotopy algebras. *J. Pure and Appl. Algebra*, 202, 2005.
- [42] Th. Th. Voronov. Higher derived brackets for arbitrary derivations. *Travaux Mathématiques*, XVI, 2005.
- [43] Theodore Voronov. Graded manifolds and Drinfeld doubles for Lie bialgebroids. In *Quantization, Poisson Brackets and Beyond*, volume 315 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 131-168. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [44] Theodore Th Voronov. Mackenzie theory and Q-manifolds, 2006. [arXiv:math.DG/0608111](#).
- [45] Th.Th. Voronov. Q-manifolds and Higher Analogs of Lie Algebroids. [arXiv:math.DG/1010.2503](#), 2010.
- [46] Pavol Ševera. Some title containing the words “homotopy” and “symplectic”, e.g. this one, 2001. [arXiv:math/0105080](#).